G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000 20 12 15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898-99

~~191~~

(Police)

File No. 67

Serial Nos. 2, 6, 13 & 16

10

~~Papers Filed.~~ 13

Month ~~Jan~~ 99

SUBJECT.

Prosecution in the Case of an infant marriage which took Place at Nariga

Th. S. Krishnamachari

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

Communicated

Police

FILE No. 67 of 98-99

Subs (2)

Patel Munnuddi of Navanikere, Hoskote Tk who gave information to the Police of the occurrence of the marriage, has also deposed to the effect that the girl was only 5 years old at the time of the marriage. No other witnesses testify to the fact.

2. The Register of Births and deaths produced by the said Patel and filed with the Pro: shows that the girl in question was born on the 20th May 1893, but its genuineness is questionable as we fail to see the signature of the Patel affixed to it.

Another sheet stated to be an extract from the Register of births & deaths is also forwarded with the Proceedings. It is signed by Patel Munnuddi referred to

above, but it is not clear whether it was obtained from the clerk records or only from the Reg'ts which the Patel referred to above, had with him. Clear proof as to the age of the girl is not forthcoming. Horoscope is stated to have not been kept.

Subd to Secy

There is a good prima facie case, taking the extract from the Register of births and letter to be genuine. The credibility may best be left to the Court deciding the case. a draft Sanction is subd.

Lila
16/9/18

2254.
9-9-98. 1898

1350

(1)

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

SECTION Police

FILE No. 616 98-99

SER'AL No. 7

Dated

Despatched 8 September 1898

Received

General No. 1025

No. 105

Enclosure No. one memo & file of Papers.

Recommending the prosecution of the parties concerned in an infant marriage that took place at Anekal which on the 23rd May 1898

J 9-9-98

but Kurliga pleads that the girl was born in 1890 and denies that the Patel objected to it. The register of births of Narnakere shows that she was born on the 20th May 1893 and the statement of Patel Mini-reddy corroborates it. It also appears that the man has a son born in the year 1890

4. The bridegroom seems to be over 18 years of age as the Patel of Kambhipura has deposed that the boy was 1 or 2 years of , when his parents went to that village about 18

The

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 1025/1025 Dated..... 8...September 1898.

Enc. one Memo & file of Papers

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of a Memo received from the Superintendent of Police, Bangalore District, reporting on the occurrence of an infant marriage at Naruga in the Anekal Taluk on the 23d May 1898.

2. The Police enquiry shews that Yedagai Kurliga of Narankere, Hoskote Taluk, first arranged to

celebrate the marriage of his daughter Kadri alias Kamu Kadri aged 5 years with one Munga of Kambleput, Bangalore Taluk, at Narankere but that on the Patel informing him of the prohibition of infant marriages he left the village with his daughter and relatives to celebrate it at Nara in the Anekal Taluk. The Inspector of the Hoskote Taluk Communicated this information to the Inspector of the Anekal Taluk and he ascer tained that the marriage was per formed in the house of Munga at Naraga on the 23rd May 18

3. The celebration
rage is admitted by

1. Kuriga, father of the bride.
2. Babi, mother of the bridegroom.
3. Mwanega, bridegroom.
4. Mwagi of Nanega at whose house the marriage was celebrated.

5. The Superintendent of Police accordingly recommends the prose-cution of the marginally noted persons for an offence under Section 3 of Regulation No. X of 1894 and I beg that sanction may be accord-ed to the same. The vernacular papers connected with the Case are herewith forwarded for perus-al and obliging return

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

K Maundy
for Inspector General
of Police.

M

E.C. Sr. 2 6

1/12

Memorandeum.

Offence under section 3 of Regulation X of 1894 (The Mysore Infant marriages prevention Regulation)

Complainant - ~~Af~~ Accused

Government. I Kuriga, father of the bride, Caste Yedagai - Resident of Naran Kere, Hoskote Taluk.

II Babi, mother of the bridegroom Muniga, Caste Yedagai, Resident of Kumbipura, Bangalore Taluk.

III Muniga, Bridegroom, son of Dasa, Caste Yedagai, resident of Kumbipura, Bangalore Taluk

IV Munigy, Caste Yedagai - Resident of Nariga, Anekal Taluk.

The Patel Munu Reddy of Naran-Kere, Hoskote Taluk, reported to the

from his village, and on his return heard the beating of drums ~~and~~ & s. I learnt that a marriage was being celebrated between one Munga of Kumbipawa and Kumukadare of Narankere and that he saw the parties going in procession. On making enquiries he was informed that the bride was 9 years and the bridegroom 18, and therefore made no official report.

(2) Mungy 4" accused states that she is the sister of the bride groom's mother and admits that the marriage was celebrated in her house in Naruga, Anekal Taluk and does not know the age of the bride and does not

Know

left Attibele for Nariga in
the Anekal Taluk with his daughter
and relatives to perform the mar-
riage at Nariga

On the receipt of the above
information the Hoskote Police

Devankundi Police station Hoskote Taluk, on 25-5-98 that one Yedagai Kuliga of Narankere was about to celebrate the marriage of his (Kuriga) daughter Munikadari, about 5 years of age to one Muniga aged 18 years of Kumbipura, Bangalore Taluk, and the Patel having informed Kuriga the father of the bride that infant marriages were prohibited, Kuriga left Narankere for Nariga in the Anekal Taluk with his daughter and relatives to perform the marriage at Nariga

On the receipt of the above information the Hoskote Police

Inspector Communicated the same to the Police Inspector of the Anekal Taluk.

The Anekal Police Inspector directed the Duffedar of Suryapur to make immediate enquiries and report result as Nariga was within the limits of Suryapur. The Duffedar of Suryapur made enquiries and reported that the individuals concerned had been to the house of one Muniyy in Nariga and had already performed the marriage ceremony.

The Police Inspector examined the following persons

(1) Patel Ramiah of Nariga states that he was absent for a few days from

know why the marriage was not performed in Narankere, Hoskote Taluk.

(3) Kuriga, 1st accused, states that he performed the marriage of his daughter Munkadare in Naraga in his brother-in-law's house and denies having been informed by the Police of Narankere that infant marriage was prohibited.

(4) Babi, 2nd accused, states that Muniga the bridegroom is her son and is about 18 years of age and was not aware that infant marriage was prohibited and denies having been informed by the Patel of Narankere.

(5) Muniga, 3rd accused, states that he was married to the girl

Munikadari by his relatives and does not know the age of the bride.

(6) Madanagena Thalvar of Narankere states that he knows the 1st accused and was present when the Patel informed the 1st accused that infant marriage was prohibited and that the accused persons left Narankere immediately for Naniga where they performed the marriage.

(7) Patel Munni Reddy of Narankere, Hoskote Taluk, states that the bride was born in the year 1893 to the 1st accused and that she was named Kadari and

was

was accordingly registered in the Births and deaths Register and that the girl was subsequently named Munkadaru and that the 1st accused now gives out that the girl was born in 1890. This Cannot be, as a male child was born to the 1st accused in 1890 and is still alive From the extract of the Registers of births and deaths it is quite clear that the girl was born in the year 1893 and is thus 5 years

Sanction of the Govern-ment for the prosecution of the accused Concerned is there

therefore solicited under Section

3 of Regulation X of 1894.

The Vernacular records in

the Case are herewith submitted

(sd) G Dunning
Superintendent
of Police
27-7-98.

Copy

K Meaudy
for Inspector General
of Police.

RS

SECTION police

FILE No. 67 & 98-99

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

SERIAL No. 6.

(3)

READ—

Letter No. 1025 (105) dated the 8th Septr 1898 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore reporting that on the 23rd May 1898 an infant girl of the age of 5 years named Kadri alias Munikadri, daughter of Kurliga of Narnakere, Hoskote Talak was married to one Muniga of Kamblipura Bangalore Talak at Narnakere.

ORDER THEREON No. 4061-62 DATED 22nd September 1898

J 453 Secl file 6 98.

Under the provisions of section 8 of Regulation X of 1894, the Government are pleased to direct the prosecution, for offences under section 3 of the Mysore Infant Marriages Prevention Regulation, of all persons who caused the marriage of the marginally noted the infant girl named Kadri alias Munikadri with a ~~boy~~ persons or named Muniga and ~~of all persons~~ who knowingly aided and abetted the same, and of the said husband Muniga.

1 Kurliga, father of the bride.
2 Kadri, mother of the bridegroom
3 Kurliga of Narnakere actually performed the marriage which was celebrated.
4 Muniga of Kamblipura, the bridegroom

20/9/98

General Secretary.

E.A.
16/9/98

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
The Inspector General of Police in Mysore returning the ver: Pro: recd with the letter read above. & note the Govt decision that evidence of the accused parties

15

[illegible] [illegible] by the
[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

OFFICE OF THE
5-5-26
1899 5 N. 99
MYSORE.

(4)

SECTION— Police

FILE No. 67 & 98-99

SER'AL No. 13

From

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

Dated

Despatched 3rd January/99

Received

General No. 2337
No.

Enclosure No. 219

Reporting the conviction of parties concerned in an infant marriage that took place at Naranakere in the Arkalgud taluk.

Inf
8.1.99
Refrs
6/1. References put up.

BSM
7-1-99

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 2337/219 Dated 3rd January 1899.

Enc.

From

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

With reference to Govt Pro: No. 4061-62/J. 453 dated 22-9-98, sanctioning the prosecution of the marginally noted men in the Narnakere Infant marriage case, Anekal Taluk, I have the honor to report that the accused were tried by the District Magistrate, Bangalore, and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 3/- each; on 13-12-98.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K. Neandy
for Inspector General of Police

1. Kunigal, Patel of the bride.
2. Balli, Patel of the bridegroom
3. Kungy of Nariga at whose house the marriage was celebrated.
4. Kunigal of Kanakapur, the bridegroom

SECTION—police

FILE No.—67 98-99

SER'AI No.—15

(5)

Read,

Letter no 2337/219 dated the 3rd January 1899, from the I. Gen.l of Police Mysore, reporting with reference to Govt. Pro. No 4061-62 of the 22nd Sept. 1898, J453 that the parties ~~connected with the prosecution of the parties who brought~~ concerned in the ~~abduction~~ infant marriage that took place at Nannakere village of the Bangalore Taluk, ~~the father of the bride, Rabi, (mother of the bride)groom, Hanuma & Hariga the bride groom,~~ were sentenced by the D.C. Magistr of Bangalore to pay a fine of Rs 3/ each.

Requesting thereon. 8/96 J 905 dated 11-1-99.

Recorded.

11-1-99

Secy

To,

The I. G. of Police in Mysore.